

THIS OPINION WAS NOT WRITTEN FOR PUBLICATION

The opinion in support of the decision being entered today (1) was **not** written for publication in a law journal and (2) is **not** binding precedent of the Board.

Paper No. 35


UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte ALEXANDERS S. TUZHILIN  
and RAVINARAYAN ARUNKUNDRAM

Application No. 09/013,490

ORDER RETURNING UNDOCKETED APPEAL TO EXAMINER



This application was received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on May 24, 2004. A review of the application has revealed that the application is not ready for docketing as an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being returned to the examiner. The matters requiring attention prior to docketing are identified below.

Upon review of the Examiner's Answer mailed on July 16, 2003 (Paper No. 29), it appears that no appeal conference has been conducted. According to the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure (MPEP) § 1208 (8<sup>th</sup> ed., August 2001), when an appeal conference has been held, the appeal conference participants must identify themselves as the conferees, along with placing their initials next

to their name. This procedure has not been completely followed, thus raising the question of whether or not an appeal conference was held.


Accordingly, it is

**ORDERED** that the application is returned to the Examiner for taking corrective action regarding the appeal conference, and for such further action as may be appropriate.

It is important that the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences be informed promptly of any action affecting the appeal (i.e., abandonment, issue, reopening prosecution).

BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS  
AND INTERFERENCES

By: \_\_\_\_\_

  
CRAIG FEINBERG  
Program and Resource Administrator  
(703) 308-9797

CF/dal  
RA04-0636

Application No.09/692,185

Page 3

BAKER BOTTS L.L.P.  
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA  
NEW YORK NY 10112